Civilizational Growth vs. Ecological Limits: A Thought Experiment for a New Global Governance Paradigm 3.0

Abstract

This paper is a thought experiment that explores the fundamental contradiction between the current infinite-growth-oriented civilizational model and a finite planetary ecosystem. It proposes a global cooperation framework based on a “survival-first principle,” with core components including the conversion of sovereign debt into “Civilizational Survival Bonds” and the use of global joint anti-corruption efforts as a starting point for trust-building. This paper aims to stimulate interdisciplinary discussion and provide strategic contingency plan references for addressing potential civilizational-level crises.

I. Preface

The current trajectory of global development seems to point toward several bleak future scenarios:

1. Irreversible collapse of the global ecosystem, while nuclear war is avoided.
2. Outbreak of nuclear war, with surviving populations lacking the capacity to manage the global environment.
3. Simultaneous occurrence of nuclear war and ecological catastrophe.

This paper attempts to explore a fourth path:through a new type of global compact, partially converting national sovereign debts into “Civilizational Survival Bonds,” thereby binding the interests of creditors to the survival probability of humanity as a whole. This would channel economic pressure, alleviate geopolitical tensions, and create momentum for global cooperation in responding to existential crises. This paper is “a thought experiment intended to provoke discussion” and “a strategic contingency plan for civilizational-level crises,” not a mature policy blueprint.

II. Basic Assumptions and Methodological Framework

1. This framework is an interdisciplinary thought experiment, derived from public research on existing ecosystems, climate change, and socio-economic models. The author calls upon experts from various fields to verify, substantiate, or refute it with specific data and models.
2. This conception is based on a potential premise: human activity has already exceeded multiple planetary boundaries, putting the global ecosystem at risk of irreversible collapse.
3. The ultimate goal of this conception is to explore non-violent pathways for achieving globally coordinated governance to address common existential threats.
4. This issue not only poses challenges for the ruling and economic classes but is also a measure to share pressures before a total catastrophic outbreak, avoiding the simultaneous eruption of all problems.
5. Many debate the warming effect of CO2 or fluctuations in natural cycles, yet evade

the core issue: human activity has exceeded planetary boundaries, and the entire Earth's ecosystem is losing balance. This is not a matter of right or wrong; it concerns how human society as a whole should respond when the Earth experiences extreme climate events or disasters.
6. Any more constructive solutions are sincerely welcomed and highly valued.

Resource Consumption and System Paradoxes

Core Formula

Infinite growth economic model × Finite planetary ecosystem = Collective survival crisis. Every human war, every round of predatory exploitation of natural resources, is a direct attack on Earth's life support systems. The current economic model treats "ecological carrying capacity" and "climate stability" as free assets, while in reality, they are the most precious capital for civilizational survival that we are rapidly depleting.

Three Fatal Paradoxes

• Military Paradox: Disputes over territory and living space triggered by melting glaciers and resource depletion are likely to become catalysts for future conflicts. However, waging war for this is akin to accelerating the sinking of the Titanic to fight for its deck chairs. The warring parties are collectively destroying the very foundation of survival they claim to fight for—a habitable planet.
• Economic Paradox: The GDP-centric growth paradigm is historically highly correlated with resource consumption and environmental degradation. A significant portion of so-called "economic development" is actually paper prosperity achieved by discounting and consuming future ecological stability (e.g., climate stability, biodiversity). We disguise ecological debts, which should be counted as costs, as current profits—a collective financial illusion.
• Technological Paradox: The "bunker savior theory" ignores systemic fragility. Maintaining small, closed ecosystems requires massive continuous energy and support from global supply chains. Once global industrial civilization collapses (a consequence of large-scale systemic disasters), all high-tech life support systems will fail within a foreseeable timeframe. In a post-apocalyptic world, the most dangerous factor would not be the natural environment, but other intelligent, organized humans fighting for remaining resources—an indisputable scientific reality.

Physical laws take precedence over political stances. The consequences of transgressing planetary boundaries will not discriminate based on nationality or belief. We collectively face a closing window of time for action.

Core Positioning and Ultimate Goal

• Positioning: This conception is not a religion or a cult of personality, but rather a set of civilizational-level crisis response protocols designed to address imminent global existential threats (e.g., ecological collapse, climate tipping points).

• Goal: By creating a neutral “consensus symbol” or “collaboration framework” transcending ethnicity, nation-states, and ideology, break down the current trust barriers in international cooperation and redirect global efforts towards the highest priority goal of species survival.

Basic Logic and Urgency

1. Survival-First Principle: When civilization faces physical existential threats (e.g., irreversible rise in global average temperature), all secondary contradictions (geopolitics, economic competition) must yield to the fundamental task of collective survival.
2. System Failure Diagnosis: The existing international governance system (nation-states, short-term interest games) has proven incapable of addressing global, exponentially growing existential crises; its internal friction model even accelerates collective ruin. A deeper insight is that this failure is universal. They too are held hostage by structural forces like the military-industrial complex, partisan politics, religious forces, and short-term capital interests. Within them, there are certainly individuals filled with a sense of powerlessness and a desire to disengage—some pushing adversarial agendas might not do so entirely out of conviction, but possibly as a desperate rationality of seizing the “last lifeboat” as the system nears capsizing. The same logic applies to most traditional religious systems, which are either consumed by doctrinal disputes or rendered silent by their ties to secular power, equally unable to respond to the ultimate survival test. All this indicates that the crisis is beyond the capacity of any old system to solve alone.

Key Implementation Pathways and Concepts

Global joint governance may evolve into a necessary survival tool; the imminent survival crisis might prompt most nations and groups to unite based on common interest. Transnational anti-corruption cooperation, occupying the moral high ground and enabling direct asset recovery, could become a feasible initial entry point for building trust among nations. However, global asset restructuring and personnel mobility might also, to some extent, intensify social tensions within countries, constituting a high-risk scenario requiring management.

1. Initiation Phase: Nations might use “Global Joint Anti-Corruption” as a trust breakthrough.
    • Rationale: Anti-corruption holds the moral high ground, can directly recover massive assets to establish a common fund, provides initial momentum for joint action, and is the most feasible entry point to break the zero-sum game.
    • Action: Promote establishing joint anti-corruption and recovery of illicit assets as the first core agenda items of the Global Survival Alliance.
2. World Economic Architecture Phase & Concepts: Construct Three Pillars
    • Judicial and Trust Pillar: Promote the establishment of transnational judicial cooperation mechanisms.
    • Economic and Interest Pillar: Promote the design of new global economic rules that transcend the zero-sum game, ensuring cooperation delivers inclusive benefits.

• Science, Technology, and Solutions Pillar: Promote pooling global wisdom and resources to jointly develop technological solutions to the crisis.

3. Safeguard Mechanism: Built-in “Safety Valves”

• System design must include checks and balances and “sunset clauses” to prevent infinite concentration of power, ensuring it always serves survival goals, not the private interests of any group.

Strategic Significance and Recommendations

1. Opportunity: This concept aligns highly with the vision of a “Community with a Shared Future for Mankind.”

2. Risk: If we wait passively, we will lose the initiative in potential future global chaos. The crisis waits for no one.

3. Politics: Governments are exhausted by entangled interests; this framework could, to some extent, allow them to disentangle from these interests.

4. Recommendation: Consider incorporating such non-traditional security topics into forward-looking research, and participate in or guide international discussions on related agendas in a timely and appropriate manner, building strategic reserves for the future.

Economic Recommendations and Concepts

1. Debt Conversion: Allow countries to convert part of their sovereign debt into “Civilizational Survival Bonds.” Creditors shift from holding the credit of a single nation to holding the survival probability of human civilization. This can transform bankruptcy crises into opportunities for solidarity.

2. Civilizational-Level Debt Restructuring Plan: For many old technologies with no immediate alternatives, like gasoline/diesel engines, they should not be brutally ‘dismantled.’ Instead, design a “retirement timeline” and a “transition buffer zone.” For instance, convert some gasoline-powered vehicles to run on natural gas where possible. Oil-to-gas conversion can serve as a transitional step for energy reform, buying time for true new energy sources to emerge. Set clear phase-out schedules for disposable manufacturing and other high-energy-consumption industries, dedicate all efforts to developing related application technologies, and replace a portion of the world’s existing stock as soon as possible. Oil Company Transition: Some potential directions for reference include vigorously developing geothermal technology, carbon sequestration, hydrogen energy transportation, and increasing the use of natural gas during the transition period while correspondingly reducing oil extraction, etc.

In summary, the deductions of this framework are built upon the following three core axioms:

• Axiom of Value: When civilization faces a physical existential threat, ensuring species survival becomes the absolute priority in all value hierarchies.

• Axiom of Synergy: Faced with a clear common extinction threat, humanity has the capacity to overcome short-term interest games and achieve unprecedented depth of global synergy.

• Axiom of Engineering: The complex survival challenges faced by civilization can be addressed through systematic socio-technical design and global engineering.

III. The Support of the Three Major Paradoxes

1. The Oxygen Trap: The Ultimate Negative Feedback Loop of Civilization

This model deduces that once marine oxygen-producing systems (such as coral reefs, phytoplankton) collapse on a large scale—which has been identified by authoritative research as an imminent climate tipping point (see The Global Tipping Points Report, Lenton et al., 2023)—the following chain reaction is likely to be triggered:

• Foundation Shaken: Atmospheric oxygen content enters an irreversible decline. The collapse of oxygen-producing systems like coral reefs will severely weaken the ocean's carbon sink and oxygen-producing functions. Combined with terrestrial ecological degradation such as deforestation and wetland loss, this may collectively upset the global balance between net oxygen production and consumption, leading to widespread proliferation of anaerobic organisms and the generation of harmful substances.
• Social Panic: The survival crisis forces nations to adopt extreme measures to compete for remaining resources and habitable territories.
• War Outbreak: Military conflict becomes a high-probability event. Modern warfare itself is a massive oxygen-consuming and heat-generating system. The comprehensive oxygen consumption from military production, global force projection, to battlefield explosions is sufficient to accelerate the natural decay process by several orders of magnitude.
• Spiral Acceleration: At this point, warfare ceases to be "the continuation of politics" and becomes an "accelerator of collective suicide," drastically shortening the civilization system's remaining response time.

Conclusion: Ecological boundaries are not merely environmental indicators; they are the physical levers that can trigger the self-destruct switch of social systems.

2. The Fundamental Paradox of the Economic System: The Fracture of the Value Cycle

The core crisis of the current global economy stems from the decoupling of monetary credit from genuine human contribution, and the resulting fracture of the value creation cycle.

• The Illusion of Money: The essence of modern fiat currency is a national credit instrument. What people exchange their time, health, and life (real value) for is the redemption right of this credit instrument. When the credit system becomes detached from real value creation, systemic exploitation arises.
• The Vicious Cycle: A healthy economy should form a closed loop of "state credit → investment → production → labor & consumption → value distribution → strengthened credit." The current system is already imbalanced, with capital circulating in a void among the "state, banks, and large capital," failing to reach the ultimate value creators and consumers—the public. As people are unable to obtain

commensurate compensation, they lose purchasing power, leading to a rupture between production and consumption. Consequently, a vast amount of enterprise output cannot be monetized, further exacerbating unemployment and depression. The already struggling spontaneous economic circulation comprised of small businesses, services, and local innovation rapidly withered under the impact of the pandemic, which stands as the most direct and stark manifestation of this very deadlock.

- The Dead Knot of Circulation: A healthy economy should be a closed loop of "national credit -> investment -> production -> labor and consumption -> value distribution -> strengthened credit." The current system is imbalanced; capital circulates emptily among "the state, banks, and large capital," failing to effectively reach the ultimate value creators and consumers—the people. As people cannot obtain remuneration matching their contribution, they lose consumption capacity, causing many enterprise products to remain unrealized, thereby exacerbating unemployment and depression. The drying up of spontaneous circulation branches like "relocation wealth" is a clear manifestation of this dead knot.
- The Exploitation of "Labor-Time Deviation": In a fractured system, currency persistently over-issued to address debt leads to structural inflation. This results in "labor-time deviation"—the continuous decline in the real purchasing power that a unit of labor time can obtain for workers. Nominal wage growth fails to keep up with the surge in living costs; workers' time is systemically undervalued within the system. This explains why "GDP appears healthy while the economy does not," because GDP measures the total flow of money, not the real well-being and value realization of the people.

3. The Feasibility Paradox of Global Engineering and Paradigm Unlocking

3.1 The Core Dilemma (The Paradox)

Grand geoengineering projects such as reflective coatings,carbon capture, and artificial oxygen generation are considered impractical within the existing economic system due to "cost-benefit mismatch." The fundamental paradox lies in this: the "financial cost" of these projects is extremely high, but their "survival benefit" (the increase in civilization continuation probability) cannot be monetized under the old paradigm, hence they are judged "infeasible."

3.2 Paradigm Shift and Unlocking Path

Under a new system with "Civilizational Survival" as its sole metric of value, the "benefit" of these projects is redefined as "an increase in the probability of survival." Through the new value metric of the "Contribution Points System" and the new financing instrument of "Civilizational Survival Bonds," the aim is to fundamentally shift the evaluation criteria, thereby transforming these projects from "infeasible" to "imperative."

3.3 The Risk Principle and the Methodological Advantage of the New Paradigm

The new paradigm not only shifts the value standard but also provides a higher-order methodology for dealing with complexity.It acknowledges that any single technological solution may carry unknown systemic risks or coupling conflicts (e.g., interference between different geoengineering projects). Therefore, one of the core tasks of the

global collaboration framework built upon the new paradigm is to ensure that survival actions themselves are robust and sustainable by incentivizing interdisciplinary simulation and cautious verification. This, in turn, confirms the failure of the old isolated decision-making system and the indispensability of the new system.

IV. Core Application of the Civilizational Contribution System: Tier-Based Rights Distribution

1 Medical Resource Allocation: Contribution as "Vitality"

1.1 Universal Basic Guarantee: Unconditionally provide all necessary medical supplies, basic medicines, and treatment technologies to all members, completely relieving the basic medical burden of survival.
1.2 Tiered Rights Design: Beyond this, establish (suggested 7-10) tiers for medical resources. Scarcer medical resources like advanced pharmaceuticals, cutting-edge treatment technologies, and top expert consultations will be prioritized based on tier level.
1.3 Promotion and Benefit Mechanism: Individuals can elevate their medical tier by accumulating "Contribution" or exchange points for additional medical resources. When an individual's contribution reaches a high level, their accumulated "medical rights" can partially benefit their immediate family, creating a positive incentive of "one person's contribution benefits the whole family," reinforcing the value of the family as a core social unit.
1.4 Core Logic: On the foundation of guaranteeing "survival," let contribution determine "better living." Individual effort directly translates into the quality and length of life for oneself and one's family.

Transitional Challenges and Solutions when Launching the Contribution Tiers in Healthcare

Core Situation: When the new medical resource distribution rules are implemented, the healthcare system is likely to face a sudden surge in patients with serious illnesses and severe chronic conditions—a consequence of previously suppressed demand due to widespread economic constraints among the population.
Specific Solution:Establish response hospitals in various regions, recall retired medical personnel, recruit and provide emergency training to idle individuals with potential nursing qualifications on a contractual basis, forming effective, time-limited point-based incentive policies to cope with the sudden medical pressure. As patient numbers decrease, repurpose these hospitals based on healthcare needs, e.g., converting them into research project groups.

2 Educational Resource Allocation: Contribution as "Cognitive Right"

2.1 Universal Basic Guarantee: Achieve free comprehensive basic education from early childhood to higher levels, and fully open all of humanity's basic theoretical knowledge repositories, ensuring absolute fairness at the starting point and equal opportunity.
2.2 Tiered Rights Design: Establish (suggested 3) education tiers, corresponding to

different depths and breadths of education. The basic tier receives standardized high-quality education. Higher tiers, and children with higher aptitudes, gain greater access to “vanguard classrooms” led by top scholars and use the most cutting-edge research equipment for learning and practice.

2.3 Dual-Track Driving Mechanism: Individuals can elevate their education tier through personal contribution or spend points to access educational resources outside their tier. The system will consider the cumulative contribution of family members, providing descendants with a higher starting point. The value of “personal effort” is higher than “family background,” but the overall contribution of the family will also be respected and inherited, thus balancing personal striving with intergenerational transmission.

2.4 Core Logic: On the foundation of guaranteeing “fair access to knowledge,” let contribution determine the “boundaries of cognition.” The gates of knowledge are open to all, but the path to the summit is paved by contribution.

3 Living and Development Resource Allocation: Contribution as “Right to Choose”

3.1 Universal Basic Security: Guarantee all members access to healthy food necessary for basic survival, secure shelter, clean water, and all other essential materials for existence.

3.2 Tiered Rights Design: Establish (suggested 7-10) comprehensive living tiers. Tier promotion unlocks richer rights, including but not limited to:

- Diet: From basic nutritional rations to diverse food options.
- Entertainment: From public cultural resources to customized audio-visual, anime, and other spiritual products.
- Mobility: From free access to efficient public transport to the use of private transportation tools.
- Housing: From standard apartments to more spacious, ecological living environments.

V. System Safeguards and Evolution Mechanisms

All rights are obtained through the exchange of personal “Contribution Points” or directly accessed based on contribution tier. This system is fully integrated with the global “Contribution Point System.” Individuals earn points and advance tiers by participating in activities that enhance the survival probability and prosperity level of human civilization, such as ecological restoration, technological breakthroughs, and social services. Passive or destructive behavior leads to point deduction and tier demotion.

Core Logic: On the foundation of guaranteeing “dignity in survival,” let contribution determine the “quality of life.” The prosperity of civilization should be tangibly reflected in the expanding freedom of choice for every contributor.

The framework aims to build a civilization with a “secure foundation and open apex.” It eliminates the fear of struggling for survival while paving a clear, visible ladder for all members to earn a broader life through efforts beneficial to the collective.

Let “not providing a breeding ground for laziness, but crowning contribution with glory” become the people’s creed.

This framework gives rise to three specific modules:

Module 1: Crisis Protocol: The Civilization’s “Stress Response System” - Protocol Switching in Crisis

- Core Clause: When a global crisis (e.g., pandemic, super-volcanic eruption, asteroid threat) erupts, the system automatically triggers a temporary “Survival-First” protocol.
- Specific Operations:
  - Unified Resource Dispatch: Temporarily freeze non-essential contribution point exchanges, integrating global supplies into an optimized distribution system.
  - Debt Conversion Momentum: Immediately allow countries to convert part of their sovereign debt into “Crisis Response Bonds” to finance joint actions.
  - Instant Contribution Incentives: Any contributions to pandemic control, rescue, or research during this period will receive doubled or additional contribution points.
- Value: This framework might not only be a blueprint for peacetime but also the only feasible emergency management system at the brink of civilizational collapse.

Module 2: Purification Mechanism: The “Metabolism” of Power and Contribution Depreciation - The Self-Purification of the Contribution System

- Core Design: Introduce the concepts of “Contribution Entropy” and “Contribution Depreciation.”
  - Contribution Entropy: The system not only measures the total volume of contribution but also assesses its diversity and irreplaceability. The “entropy” per unit of contribution from a community single-handedly restoring ecology in a desert is far higher than that of a supplementary investment in a metropolis.
  - Contribution Depreciation: Past contributions naturally “depreciate” over time, forcing individuals and institutions to continuously create new value, rather than “resting” on historical merits. Behaviors that hinder overall civilizational progress, such as knowledge monopolies and technological blockade, will be considered “negative contribution” and incur massive point deductions.
- Value: This endows the system with endogenous mechanisms for “anti-corruption” and “anti-monopoly,” ensuring the elite class remains fluid and consistently future-oriented.

Module 3: Concretization and Preliminary Experiment of the ‘Contribution Points’ System

To make the ‘Contribution Points’ system more concrete, Module 3 is hereby added for preliminary experimentation.
The ‘Contribution Points’ are to be phased into the current global monetary system. A global mobilization call for coral reef restoration based on ‘Contribution Points’ is to be issued, with a points formula set as: (Ecological restoration area ×

coefficient) + Entropy bonus (for technological originality, interdisciplinary integration) + Timeliness bonus (for completion within the crisis window).
Note: Moving from conception to actual experimental stages for all related research requires two global conditions: first, that the global community prioritizes the allocation of resources to the current experiment; and second, that appropriate adjustments are made in the coordination and alignment of the global economy, military affairs, scientific research, and environmental protection technologies.

VI. Discussion Topics

1. Power Switch: After Debt Conversion, Where Does Civilization Go? This is not just a financial restructuring, but a fundamental shift in civilization's driving system. Global capital and human effort will shift from infinite horizontal expansion to bounded vertical cultivation—aimed at planetary repair, efficiency enhancement, and cognitive expansion. The story of civilization will shift from "growth" to "ascent."
2. Technology Ignition: How Does It Catalyze breakthrough energy and technology? It will actively catalyze a technological singularity by creating the most powerful "demand gravitational field" and "resource pool" in history. When solving energy and environmental problems becomes the most profitable and honorable undertaking globally, capital and talent will flood in like a tide, to break through the currently seemingly insurmountable technological barriers with unprecedented synergy.
3. Historical Reconciliation: How Does It Confront Historical 'Black Assets' and in What Form Are They Accepted? It offers a cold, impartial "Historical Redemption Contract." It allows some "black assets" to gain legitimacy within the new system by supporting civilizational survival, but at the cost of entering a fully transparent, irreversible contributor track. This is a one-time severance from the sins of the old era, and the ultimate means of converting destructive energy into constructive force.
4. Survival Definition: How Does It Define Survival for People in the AI Era? When AI takes over most production, human value will be re-anchored. The definition of "survival" will shift from "not being eliminated" to "finding irreplaceable creative and caring roles." The system measures and rewards all behaviors with positive significance for civilization through "Contribution Points," ensuring everyone finds their dignity and place in the AI era.
5. Governance Revolution: Does This Mean 'Contribution' Will Replace 'Taxation'? In its ultimate form, direct, quantifiable "Contribution" to civilization will likely become the core way for individuals and institutions to fulfill social responsibilities, potentially partially or even fully replacing traditional taxation. The source of public finance will be more directly and transparently linked to the well-being of humanity and the planet. Our work itself becomes the contribution.

VII. Summary

This conception is a strategic contingency plan derived from severe physical realities, simultaneously deducing that global synergy is technically possible. It proposes a potential path to initiate global cooperation and respond to ultimate crises at minimal cost. Its value lies in providing a reference for solutions that transcend existing

mental frameworks, for policymakers to consider prudently in formulating long-term strategies.
It must be pointed out that this concept does not simply aim to overthrow or deprive,but rather to build a more predictable and long-term stable civilizational order. For participants in the current system, while the old model can bring short-term benefits, the hidden costs of maintaining these benefits—including endless internal friction, high uncertainty, and systemic collapse risk—are becoming unbearable. This system, by establishing clear rules and transparent mechanisms, would significantly reduce the immense mental cost of this internal game. Participants might experience short-term pain, but in exchange, they gain long-term stability free from fear and persistent anxiety. This is a paradigm shift from “high-cost, high-risk predatory survival” to “low-cost, sustainable governance-based development.”
If conventional approaches cannot complete verification before the rapidly closing governance window, we must rely on a tool that far exceeds the iteration speed of real-world politics: developing a rapidly updatable global survival simulation game. This game would model the interconnected dynamics of ecology, economy, and politics, testing the efficacy of various governance solutions and forging global consensus. It likely represents the only feasible "cognitive lever" we have to pry loose global awareness and collaboration before time runs out.
Ultimately,an orderly, predictable environment is the fundamental guarantee for truly maximizing the interests of all participants within the system. This is the common cause of all humanity, which cannot be divided by camp or good/evil, and for which no single scapegoat can be found.

VIII. Declaration

I am not any organization, yet I can be any organization. I am not any political party or government, yet I can support any political party or government. I am not any religion, yet I can join any religion. Because I am one of the common people, and my stance is to make the world a better place.

This document is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.

Framework v3.0 is hereby archived. Its builder, due to a profound rift between reality and ideals, has entered a necessary recuperation period and will indefinitely postpone updates. We extend our thanks to all silent observers.

Contributor (Pseudonym): zxc

《文明增长与生态极限：新全球治理范式的思想实验》 3.0

摘要

本文是一项思想实验，旨在探讨当前以无限增长为导向的文明模式与有限行星生态系统之间的

根本性矛盾。本文提出了一个基于“生存优先原则”的全球合作框架，其核心包括主权债务向“文明生存债券”的转换，以及以全球联合反腐作为信任构建的起点等设想。本文旨在激发跨学科讨论，为应对潜在的文明级危机提供战略性预案参考。

一、 前言

当前全球发展的轨迹，似乎指向几个黯淡的未来情景：

1. 全球生态系统发生不可逆崩溃，同时避免了核战争。
2. 核战争爆发，幸存人口缺乏管理全球环境的能力。
3. 核战争与灾难同时爆发。

本文试图探讨第四条路径：通过一种新型全球契约，将各国的主权债务部分转化为“文明生存债券”，将债权人的利益与人类整体的生存概率绑定，从而疏导经济压力，缓解地缘政治紧张，并为全球合作应对生存危机创造动力。

本文是一个“旨在激发讨论的思想实验”和“文明级危机的战略性预案”，而非一个成熟的政策蓝图。

二、 基本假设与方法论述

1. 本文框架为跨学科思想实验，基于对现有生态系统、气候变化及社会经济模型的公开研究推演而成。作者呼吁各领域专家用具体数据与模型对其予以验证、充实或驳斥。
2. 本构想立论于一个潜在设想：人类活动已超载多个行星边界，全球生态系统有走向不可逆崩溃的风险。
3. 本构想的终极目标是探索以非暴力方式实现全球协同治理，以应对共同生存威胁。
4. 此事不仅为统治和经济阶层带来挑战，更是为了在灾难总爆发前分担压力、避免所有问题同时井喷的举措。
5. 许多人争论二氧化碳的增温效应或自然周期的波动，却回避了核心问题：人类活动已超出行星边界，整个地球生态系统正在失衡。这本身不是对错的问题，这关乎的是，当地球发生极端气候/灾难时，整体人类社会应该如何应对的问题。
6. 诚挚欢迎并高度重视任何更具建设性的解决方案。

资源消耗与系统悖论

核心公式

无限增长的经济模型 × 有限的星球生态系统 = 集体生存危机。

人类的每一次战争、每一轮对自然资源的掠夺性开发，都是对地球生命支持系统的直接攻击。当前经济模型将“生态承载力”与“气候稳定性”视为免费资产，实则是我们正在快速消耗的、最宝贵的文明生存本金。

三大致命悖论

- 军事悖论：由冰川融化、资源枯竭所引发的领土与生存空间争端，很可能成为未来冲突的导火索。但为此发动战争，无异于为了争夺泰坦尼克号上的躺椅而加速其沉没。交战双方实际上是在共同摧毁他们声称要争夺的唯一生存基础——一个宜居的星球。
- 经济悖论：以 GDP 为核心的增长范式，与资源消耗和环境破坏的历史关联性极高。大量所谓的“经济发展”，实则是通过贴现和消耗未来的生态稳定性（如气候稳定、生物多样性）来实现的账面繁荣。我们将本应计入成本的生态债务伪装成了当下的利润，这是一种集体的财务幻觉。
- 技术悖论：“地堡救世论”忽略了系统性的脆弱。维持小型封闭生态系统需要巨大的持续能量和全球供应链的支持。一旦全球工业文明崩溃（这正是大规模系统性灾难的后果），所有高科技生命维持系统将在可预见的时间内失效。在末世，最危险的并非自然环境，而是其他为生存争夺剩余资源、有智慧、有组织的人类 —— 这是无可辩驳的科学现实。

物理定律优先于政治立场。行星边界被突破的后果，不会因国籍或信仰而区别对待。我们正共同面对一个正在关闭的行动时间窗口。

核心定位与终极目标

- 定位：本构想并非宗教或个人崇拜，而是一套旨在应对迫在眉睫的全球性生存危机（如生态崩溃、气候临界点）的文明级危机应对协议。
- 目标：通过创建一个超越民族、国家、意识形态的中立“共识符号”或“协作框架”，打破当前国际合作的信任壁垒，引导全球力量转向物种存续这一最高优先级目标。

基本逻辑与紧迫性

1. 生存优先原则：当文明面临物理性生存威胁时（如全球平均气温的不可逆攀升），所有次级矛盾（地缘政治、经济竞争）均应让位于联合求生这一根本任务。
2. 系统失灵诊断：现有国际治理体系（民族国家、短期利益博弈）已证明无力应对全局性、指数级增长的生存危机，其内耗模式甚至在加速共同毁灭。一个更深刻的洞察在于：这种失灵是普遍性的。

关键实施路径及设想

全球性联合治理可能演变为一种必要的生存手段；迫近的生存危机，可能促使大多数国家与群体基于共同利益走向团结。跨国反腐合作因其占据道德制高点且能直接追回资产，可能成为最初各国构建信任的可行性切入点。但是，全球性的资产重组与人员流动，也可能在一定程度上加剧各国内部的社会张力，构成一个需要管控的高风险局面。

1. 启动阶段：全球各国可能会以“全球联合反腐”为信任突破口。
    - 理由：反腐占据道德制高点，能直接追回巨额资产建立共同基金，为联合行动提供初始动力，是打破零和博弈最可行的切入点。
    - 行动：推动将联合反腐、追缴赃款赃物确立为全球生存联盟的首批核心议程。
2. 世界经济架构阶段及设想：构建三大支柱
    - 司法与信任支柱：推动建立跨国司法协作机制。
    - 经济与利益支柱：推动设计超越零和博弈的全球经济新规则，确保合作能带来普惠利益。
    - 科技与方案支柱：推动集中全球智慧与资源，共同开发应对危机的技术方案。
3. 保障机制：内置“安全阀”

• 系统设计必须包含权力制衡与“日落条款”，防止权力无限集中，确保其始终服务于生存目标，而非任何集团私利。

战略意义与建议

1. 机遇：此构想与“人类命运共同体”理念高度契合。
2. 风险：若被动等待，将在未来可能出现的全球性混乱中丧失主动权。危机不等人。
3. 政治：各国政权因利益纠缠筋疲力尽，这套框架也可以让政权在一定程度上与利益解绑。
4. 建议：可考虑将此类非传统安全议题纳入前瞻性研究，适时以适当方式参与或引导相关议程的国际讨论，为未来做好战略储备。

经济建议及设想

1. 债务转换：允许各国将部分主权债务转换为“文明生存债券”。债权人从持有单一国家信用，转变为持有人类文明的生存概率。这能将破产危机转化为团结契机。
2. 文明级的债务重组方案：对于许多尚无替代方案的旧技术，如汽油/柴油发动机，不应粗暴淘汰，为它们设计 “退役时间表” 和 “转型缓冲带”。比如，以汽油燃烧为技术的动力装备，尽可能地将部分燃油车改装为燃烧天然气的车型。油改气作为能源改革的铺垫，以争取真正新能源问世的时间，并为可替代的一次性制造业及其他高耗能产业设定明确的淘汰时间表，全力开发相关应用技术，尽快替换掉世界一部分存量。石油企业转型：有一些方向提供参考，例如大力发展地热技术、碳封存、氢能源运输，在过渡期内加大天然气利用，相应减少石油开采等。

综上所述，本框架的推演建立在以下三项核心公理之上：

• 价值公理：当文明面临物理性存续威胁时，确保物种生存成为一切价值排序中的绝对优先项。
• 协同公理：在明确的共同灭绝威胁前，人类有能力克服短期利益博弈，实现空前深度的全球协同。
• 工程公理：文明面临的复杂生存挑战，可以通过系统性的社会技术设计与全球工程来应对。

三、 三大悖论的支撑

1. 氧气陷阱：文明的终极负反馈循环

本模型推演显示，一旦海洋产氧系统（如珊瑚、浮游生物）大规模崩溃——这已被权威研究认定为迫在眉睫的气候临界点之一（参见《全球临界点报告》，Lenton et al., 2023）——很可能会触发以下链式反应：

• 基础动摇：大气氧含量进入不可逆的下降通道。珊瑚等产氧系统崩溃，将严重削弱海洋的碳汇与产氧功能。结合森林砍伐、湿地丧失等陆地生态退化，可能共同导致全球净氧气生产与消耗的平衡被打破，从而引发厌氧生物大面积繁殖及有害物质产生。
• 社会恐慌：生存危机迫使各国采取极端手段争夺存量资源与宜居领土。
• 战争爆发：军事冲突成为大概率事件。现代战争本身是一个巨大的耗氧与发热系统，从军工生产、全球兵力投送到战场爆炸，其综合耗氧量足以将自然衰减进程提升数个量级。
• 螺旋加速：至此，战争行为将不再是“政治的延续”，而是 “集体自杀的加速器” ，急剧缩短文明系统的剩余响应时间。

结论：生态边界不仅是环境指标，更是触发社会系统自毁开关的物理杠杆。

2. 经济体系的根本悖论：价值循环的断裂

当前全球经济的核心危机，源于货币信用与人类真实贡献的脱钩，以及由此导致的价值创造循环断裂。

• 货币的幻象：现代法币的本质是国家信用凭证。人们付出时间、健康与生命（真实价值）换取的，是这张信用凭证的兑换权。当信用体系与真实价值创造脱节，便产生系统性剥削。
• 循环的死结： 健康的经济应是 “国家信用 -> 投资 -> 生产 -> 劳动与消费 -> 价值分配 -> 强化信用” 的闭环。当前系统已然失衡，资本在“国家-银行-大资本”间空转，无法有效抵达最终的价值创造者与消费者——民众手中。民众因无法获得相匹配的报酬而丧失消费能力，导致生产端与消费端断裂，大量企业产品无法变现，进而加剧失业与萧条。原本就运转不理想的、由小型商业、服务业与地方性创新构成的民间自发经济循环，在疫情的冲击下急剧枯竭，这正是上述死结最直观与残酷的体现

3. 全球工程的可行性悖论与范式解锁

• 3.1 核心困境（悖论所在）
反光涂层、碳捕捉、人工制氧等宏大地球工程，在现有经济体系中因“成本收益不匹配”而被视为不切实际。其根本悖论在于：这些工程的“财务成本”极高，但“生存收益”（即文明延续概率的提升）在旧有范式下无法被货币化核算，故被判定为“不可行”。
• 3.2 范式转换与解锁路径
在以 “文明生存” 为唯一价值尺度的新体系下，这些工程的“收益”被重新定义为“生存概率的提升”。通过 “贡献积分” 这一新价值尺度和 “文明生存债券” 这一新融资工具，旨在从根本上扭转评估标准，使这些工程从“不可行”变为“必须行”。
• 3.3 风险原则与新范式的方法论优势
新范式不仅转换价值标准，更提供了应对复杂性的高阶方法论。它承认，任何单一技术方案都可能存在未知的系统性风险或耦合冲突（例如，不同地球工程间的相互干扰）。因此，基于新范式建立的全球协作框架，其核心任务之一，就是通过激励跨学科模拟与谨慎验证，确保求生行动本身是稳健和可持续的。这也从另一面印证了旧有孤立决策体系的失效与新体系的不可或缺。

四、 文明贡献体系的核心应用：挡位式权益分配

1 医疗资源分配：贡献即“生命力”

• 全民基础保障：无条件为所有成员提供所有必要的医疗物品、基础药物及治疗技术，彻底解除生存的基本医疗负担。
• 阶梯权益设计：在此之上，设立（建议 7-10 级）医疗资源挡位。更先进的药品、尖端的治疗技术、顶尖的专家会诊等稀缺医疗资源，将按挡位高低进行优先分配。
• 晋升与惠及机制：个人可通过积累“贡献”提升医疗挡位或通过积分兑换额外的医疗资源。当个人贡献达到较高等级时，其积累的“医疗权益”可部分惠及其直系亲属，形成“一人贡献，全家受益”的正向激励，强化家庭作为社会核心单元的价值。

• 核心逻辑：在保障“活着”的基础上，让贡献决定“更好地活”。个体的奋斗，直接转化为自身与家人生命的质量与长度。

贡献挡位在医疗领域启动时面临的过渡期挑战及解决方案
（这会是验证模块最强力的事件）

• 核心概况：当新的医疗资源分配规则启动时，因为以前的民众经济问题，医疗系统很可能突然面临重病以及严重慢性病人增多的情况。
• 具体解决办法：各地区建立应急医院，召回退休医疗人员。对闲置且具备潜在医护资质的人员，以合同制形式招募并开展应急培训，形成有效的、有时限的积分激励政策，以应对突如其来的医疗压力。当患者减少时，根据就医情况对医院用途进行二次分配如变更为科研项目组等。

2 教育资源分配：贡献即“认知权”

• 全民基础保障：实现从启蒙到高等的全面基础教育无偿化，并完全开放人类所有基础理论知识库，确保起点的绝对公平与机会均等。
• 阶梯权益设计：设立（建议 3 级）教育挡位，对应不同的教育深度与广度。基础挡位享受标准化优质教育。更高挡位者及天赋较高的孩子，更有机会进入由顶尖学者主导的 “先锋课堂”，并使用最前沿的科研设备进行学习与实践。
• 双轨驱动机制：个人可通过自身贡献提升教育挡位或付出积分获得挡位以外的一些教育资源。系统将考量其家庭成员的累计贡献，为后代提供一个更高的起点。“个人努力”的价值高于“家庭背景”，但家庭整体的贡献也将被尊重和继承，以此平衡个人奋斗与代际传承。
• 核心逻辑：在保障“公平求知”的基础上，让贡献决定“认知的边界”。知识的大门向所有人敞开，但通往巅峰的路径，由贡献铺就。

3 生活与发展资源分配：贡献即“选择权”

• 全民基础保障：保证所有成员能够获得维持基本生存所必需的健康食物、安全住所、洁净饮水，以及一切其他生存所需的必需品。
• 阶梯权益设计：设立（建议 7-10 级）综合生活挡位。挡位晋升将解锁更丰富的权益，包括但不限于：
  • 饮食：从基础营养配给到多样化的食物。
  • 娱乐：从公共文化资源到定制化的影音、动漫等精神产品。
  • 出行：从高效的公共交通免费权，到享有私人出行工具。
  • 居住：从标准公寓到更宽敞、生态化的居住环境。

五、 系统的保障与演进机制

所有权益通过个人“贡献积分”兑换或凭借贡献等级直接获取。该体系与全球“贡献积分系统”完全绑定。个人通过参与生态修复、技术攻关、社会服务等一切提升人类文明生存概率与繁荣等级的行为来获取积分，实现挡位晋升。消极或破坏行为将导致积分扣减与挡位下降。

核心逻辑：在保障“生存尊严”的基础上，让贡献决定“生活的品质”。文明的繁荣，应直观地体现在每个贡献者不断扩大的选择自由上。
框架将构建一个 “底线牢固、顶端开阔” 的文明形态。它消除了为生存而挣扎的恐惧，同时

为所有成员铺设了一条通过有益于集体的奋斗来赢得更广阔人生的、清晰可见的阶梯。让“不为懒惰提供温床，只为贡献加冕荣光”成为人民的信念。

此框架衍生出三个具体模块

- 模块一：危机协议：文明的“应激系统” —— 危机下的协议切换
  （这将展示框架在极端压力下的生存智慧）
  - 核心条款：当全球性危机（如大流行病、超级火山喷发、小行星威胁）爆发时，系统自动触发 “生存优先”临时协议。
  - 具体操作：
    1. 资源统一调度：暂时冻结非必要的贡献积分兑换，将全球物资纳入优化分配系统。
    2. 债务转化动力：立即允许各国将部分主权债务转换为“危机应对债券”，为联合行动融资。
    3. 贡献即时激励：在此期间的任何抗疫、救援、科研贡献将获得翻倍或额外的贡献以及积分。
  - 价值：此类框架或许不仅是和平时期的蓝图，更是文明存亡关头唯一可行的应急管理系统。
- 模块二：净化机制：权力的“代谢”与贡献折旧 —— 贡献系统的自我净化
  （这将解决“贡献积分会被权贵操纵”的终极质疑）
  - 核心设计：引入 “贡献熵值” 与 “贡献折旧” 概念。
    - 贡献熵值：系统不仅衡量贡献总量，更评估其多样性和不可替代性。一个在沙漠独力修复生态的社区，其单位贡献的“熵值”远高于在都市的锦上添花型投资。
    - 贡献折旧：过去的贡献会随时间自然“贬值”，迫使个体和机构必须持续创造新价值，而非“躺”在历史功劳簿上。知识垄断、技术封锁等阻碍文明整体进步的行为将被视为 “负贡献” ，并扣除巨额积分。
  - 价值：它让体系具备了 “抗腐化” 与 “反垄断” 的内生机制，确保精英阶层是流动的、是始终面向未来的。
- 模块三：贡献积分系统具象化与初步试验
  - 为使‘贡献积分’系统具象化，特此添加模块三以进行初步试验。
  - “贡献积分” 将阶段性纳入当前全球货币体系。全球发布以‘贡献积分’为基础修复珊瑚的动员号召，设定积分公式如：（生态恢复面积 × 系数）+ 熵值加成（技术原创性、跨学科集成度）+ 时效加成（在窗口期内完成）。
  - 注意：在所有相关研究脱离设想进入实际试验阶段，都需要全世界对当前试验进行资源倾斜，以及全球在经济、军事、科研、环保技术等领域进行适当的对接与调整。

六、 议题

1. 动力切换：化债之后，文明将走向何方？
   这不仅是金融的重组，更是文明动力系统的根本性切换。全球资本与人力将从无限扩张的横向掠夺，转向有边界的纵向深耕——目标是修复星球、提升效能、拓展认知。文明的故事，将从“增长”转向“升华”。
2. 技术引爆：它如何催生真正的变革，以及突破性的能源与技术？
   它将通过创造史上最强大的“需求引力场”和“资源池”，主动催生技术奇点。当解决能源、环境问题成为全球最盈利、最光荣的事业时，资本与天才将如潮水般涌入，以前所未有的合力攻克现在看似无解的技术壁垒。
3. 历史和解：它如何面对历史的黑资产并以什么形态接纳？

它提供了一份冷酷而公正的 “历史赎买契约” 。允许部分“黑资产”通过支持文明存续而获得新系统的合法性，但代价是进入一个全面透明、不可逆的贡献者轨道。这是与旧时代罪恶的一次性切割，也是将破坏性能量转化为建设性力量的终极手段。

4. 生存定义：它如何定义智能时代的人们如何生存？

当 AI 接管大部分生产，人的价值将被重新锚定。“生存”的定义将从“不被淘汰”，转变为“找到无可替代的创造性与关怀性角色”。系统通过“贡献积分”衡量并回馈一切对文明有正面意义的行为，确保每个人在 AI 时代都能找到自己的尊严与位置。

5. 治理革命：这是否意味着“贡献”将取代“税收”？

在终极形态下，对文明的直接、可量化的“贡献”，将很可能成为个人与机构履行社会责任的核心方式，从而部分乃至完全替代传统税收。公共财政的来源，将更直接、更透明地与人类和星球的福祉绑定。我们所有人的工作本身就是贡献。

七、 总结

本构想是基于严峻物理现实推导出的战略性预案，同时引导出全球协同在技术上是可能的。它提出了一条以最小代价启动全球合作、应对终极危机的可能路径。其价值在于提供一种超越现有思维框架的解决方案参考，供决策者在制定长远战略时审慎考量。

必须指出，本构想并非简单地颠覆或剥夺，而是旨在构建一个更具可预期性和长期稳定性的文明秩序。对于当前体系的参与者而言，旧模式虽能带来短期利益，但维持这种利益的隐性成本——包括无休止的内耗、高度的不确定性和系统性崩溃的风险——正变得难以承受。本系统通过建立清晰的规则与透明的机制，将极大降低这种内部博弈的巨大心力成本。参与者或许会经历短期阵痛，但换来的将是免于恐惧和持续焦虑的长期安稳。这是一种从“高成本、高风险的掠夺式生存”向“低成本、可持续的治理式发展”的范式转变。

如果传统路径无法在迫近的治理窗口期关闭前完成验证，我们就必须依赖一种远超现实政治迭代速度的工具：开发一个可快速更迭的全球性生存模拟游戏 —— 通过还原生态-经济-政治的联动机制，测试不同治理方案的效果，凝聚全球共识。这很可能是我们在时间耗尽前，撬动全球认知与协作的唯一可行的‘认知杠杆’。

最终，一个有序的、可预测的环境，对体系内的所有参与者而言，才是真正利益最大化的根本保障。这是全人类共同的事业，无法以阵营、好坏来划分，也找不到任何单一的替罪羊。

八、 声明

• 我不是任何组织，我可以是任何组织。我不是任何党政，但是我可以支持任何党政。我不是任何宗教，但我可以加入任何宗教。因为我是百姓，我的立场是让世界更美好。
• 本文档采用 知识共享 署名-非商业性使用 4.0 国际许可协议 进行许可。
• 框架 v3.0 已归档。构建者因现实与理想的多重撕裂进入必要休整期，并将无限期推迟更新。感谢所有静默关注者。框架保持开源，供研究与讨论。

投稿人（化名）：zxc